

OBJECTIONS / COMMENTS TO ADVERTISED TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER

Scheme:	BRACKNELL FOREST BOROUGH COUNCIL (LARGES LANE & MOUNT PLEASANT, BRACKNELL) (PROHIBITION OF WAITING) ORDER 2016		
	Larges Lane – ‘Prohibition of waiting’ restrictions		
Date Advertised:	27th January 2016	No. of Objections Received:	7 Objections

Objector	Summary of Objection / Comment	Officers Comments	Decision Abandon/Modify / Proceed as advertised.
<p>██████████ Mount Pleasant Bracknell Berkshire RG12 9AD</p>	<p>██████████ states that our proposals contains the incorrect information as the current restriction signs say Mon – Fri 9.30am to 14.30pm.</p> <p>██████████ supports our double yellow line proposals but █ asks for them to be extended all the way along Mount Pleasant as residents are continually experiencing issues with obstructions and █ feels delivery vehicles, emergency vehicles etc would not be able to get down the road</p>	<p>The current signs are incorrect and do not correspond with the restrictions contained within the current Boroughwide On-Street TRO. The correct restriction should be Mon – Sat 10.00am – 11.00am. This restriction deters all day commuter parking. The previous 9.30am – 14.30pm restriction was introduced on a temporary basis whilst redevelopment work was being undertaken on the Bracknell and Wokingham College building. Arrangements have been made for the correct signs to be erected.</p> <p>A number of parking surveys were carried out as part of the works in developing these restrictions and no cars were observed in this part of Mount Pleasant. The Council is not aware of other complaints regarding parking in this location. The proposal will introduce passing places for vehicles and as such make it easier for all vehicles, emergency vehicles included, to use the road.</p>	<p>Proceed as advertised.</p>
<p>██████████ Larges Lane Bracknell Berkshire RG12 9AN</p>	<p>██████████ uses the road daily at the times when school parking is at its peak. █ states it is always very difficult and sometimes impossible for █ to exit █ driveway due to cars parked directly opposite.</p> <p>██████████ says █ has witnessed many near accidents involving cyclists and children.</p> <p>██████████ says that cars park in the accesses to the properties on the east side of the road so they cannot be used as passing places.</p> <p>██████████ states that the Council has repaired the grass verges but</p>	<p>Whilst it is accepted that access and egress from ██████████ property would be made more difficult when cars are parked opposite █ driveway the Council must look at parking as a whole in the area. In discussions with St Josephs School and informal comments received from parents, further reductions in the number of available on-street parking spaces would be likely met with severe opposition.</p> <p>The proposed restrictions will stop legal parking in the vicinity of the accesses and allow them to be used as passing spaces.</p> <p>Unfortunately verge parking occurs at many locations across the</p>	<p>Proceed as advertised.</p>

	<p>they are damaged again due to parking and cars having to mount them to pass.</p> <p>██████████ requests for the double yellow line restrictions to be extended from the current "School Keep Clear" restrictions to the end of Larges Lane.</p>	<p>Borough. This is usually a symptom of lack of parking in the area and restricting parking at these locations will either lead to the restrictions being generally ignored or will result in the parking be moved to another location. A considered approach has had to be taken between protecting the verges with waiting restrictions and affording sufficient on street parking for those who require it</p> <p>As with all new TRO's the scheme will be monitored, if it is felt further works are required amendments shall be included in a subsequent TRO.</p>	
<p>██████████ Larges Lane Bracknell Berkshire RG12 9AL</p>	<p>██████████ are in full support of the parking restriction proposals but they believe further restrictions are necessary to fully address the safety concerns and inconvenience to residents.</p> <p>██████████ suggest the installation of double yellow lines along the length of Larges Lane from its junction with Mount Pleasant to a point approximately 50metres south of Gipsy Lane.</p> <p>██████████ also question the how for the double yellow line restriction extends back from the carriageway in particular with regards to the shared driveway areas. They acknowledge the response they have received from the Council with regards to this but have further concerns that this could lead to cars being able to park in the driveways legally. They ask for consideration be given to how the markings are installed on site.</p> <p>██████████ suggest that a restriction limiting waiting to 15minutes would cut down on parents parking unnecessarily long periods of time.</p> <p>██████████ refer to the Council's obligations for school parking and states that the current arrangements do not meet this.</p> <p>██████████ conclude by suggesting that the land between the rear of the football pitch and Gipsy Lane could be used a drop off & pick up area.</p>	<p>Whilst it is accepted that the parking situation in Larges Lane is not ideal the Council must look at parking as a whole in the area. In discussions with St Josephs School and informal comments received from parents, further reductions in the number of available on-street parking spaces would be likely met with significant opposition.</p> <p>It is normal practice to mark the restrictions into the mouth of the access (as per ██████████ suggestion) rather than continuing the marking across the access.</p> <p>Due to budgetary pressures the Council does not have the resources to provide off street parking provision in this area at this time. Furthermore initial observation do not show sufficient council owned land in vicinity.</p> <p>A 15 minute waiting restriction would be difficult for the Council's Enforcement Officers to enforce due to the practicalities involved. The Officer would be required to note all of the cars parked and observe them parked in the same position for 15 minutes. At which time they could issue them a ticket but this in itself requires the inputting of the vehicles data by which time it would be likely the car occupants would have returned and departed. In addition, this would also penalise parents of children who may have been delayed slightly in leaving school.</p> <p>Parking standards for schools refer to planning guidelines for new schools or if existing schools are being developed, even then they are considered on a case by case basis as their full adoption may not possible due to land constraints. They are not applied retrospectively.</p> <p>As with all new TRO's the scheme will be monitored, if it is felt further works are required amendments shall be included in a subsequent TRO.</p>	<p>Proceed as advertised.</p>
<p>██████████ Larges Lane</p>	<p>██████████ considers the proposals to be inadequate to resolve the current difficulties. Drivers will still be unable to see if cars are coming in the opposite direction and potentially have to reverse into his shared</p>	<p>Whilst it is accepted that the parking situation in Larges Lane is not ideal the Council must look at parking as a whole in the area. In discussions with St Josephs School and informal comments received</p>	<p>Proceed as advertised.</p>

<p>Bracknell Berkshire RG12 9AL</p>	<p>access.</p> <p>██████████ states that cars regularly park in his access and in the access to The Willows.</p> <p>██████████ claims that during peak school times it would be impossible for a large emergency vehicle to gain access to the southern part of Larges Lane.</p> <p>██████████ asks how the current proposals alter the necessity of vehicle occupants to walk along the carriageway if they are parked between Mount Pleasant & Gipsy Lane?</p> <p>██████████ believes it would be much safer if double yellow lines were introduced from Gipsy Lane to the southern end of Larges Lane.</p> <p>██████████ concludes by stating the restrictions would not stop the constant destruction of the verge by vehicles.</p>	<p>from parents, further reductions in the number of available on-street parking spaces would be likely met with significant opposition.</p> <p>A number of parking surveys were carried out as part of the works in developing these restrictions and whilst cars were regularly observed parking in the accesses on the eastern side of Larges Lane, no cars were observed parking on the western side.</p> <p>Whilst it is accepted that two cars may meet on the narrow section, there is adequate forward visibility to enable motorists to decide whether it is safe to proceed or pull in and allow another car to pass.</p> <p>The proposed restrictions did not have the aim of providing safer parking places for parents dropping off or picking up their children. It was observed during the parking surveys that the majority of parents exercise care when walking on the carriageway.</p> <p>Unfortunately verge parking occurs at many locations across the Borough. This is usually a symptom of lack of parking in the area and restricting parking at these locations will either lead to the restrictions being generally ignored or will result in the parking be moved to another location.</p> <p>As with all new TRO's the scheme will be monitored, if it is felt further works are required amendments shall be included in a subsequent TRO.</p>	
<p>██████████ Larges Lane Bracknell Berkshire RG12 9AW</p>	<p>██████████ welcome the parking restriction proposals but they do not believe they go far enough. Parking on Larges Lane has increased due to the residents parking restrictions now in place in the Goodways Estate.</p> <p>██████████ believe that double yellow line restrictions should be included on the passing point on the eastern side of Larges Lane to ensure it is not parked in.</p> <p>██████████ also suggest that double yellow line restrictions are placed opposite the accesses for the properties on the eastern side of Larges Lane. They also suggest that the double yellow lines should extend from the cemetery to the end of Larges Lane.</p> <p>██████████ suggest that ideally the whole narrow section of Larges Lane should have double yellow lines or Residents' parking. Parking for the school could be accommodated by a dedicated parking area or relaxing the residents parking restrictions during the peak school areas.</p> <p>██████████ ask for this situation to be looked at as part of the review of the residents parking scheme which the Council implied would</p>	<p>Whilst it is accepted that the parking situation in Larges Lane is not ideal the Council must look at parking as a whole in the area. In discussions with St Josephs School and informal comments received from parents, further reductions in the number of available on-street parking spaces would be likely met with severe opposition.</p> <p>A review of the current Residents' parking restrictions will be carried out following the completion of the 2 year trial period later this year. The review will look at the effects on roads surrounding the residents' parking area as well as those within in them.</p> <p>As with all new TRO's the scheme will be monitored, if it is felt further works are required amendments shall be included in a subsequent TRO.</p>	<p>Proceed as advertised.</p>

	be carried out.		
<p>Warfield Bracknell Berkshire RG42 2QN</p>	<p>██████████ is a working mother and therefore relies on █ car to take █ children to school. █ has not encountered an issue with finding somewhere to park in the morning as █ arrives early. However, there are never any free spaces for any parent arriving later than 8.45. Removing even just a few spaces will have a big impact on parking and is penalising working parents.</p> <p>██████████ states █ has never seen any incidents although the road is busy. █ adds that generally drivers are careful and respectful to each other.</p> <p>██████████ is urging the Council to reconsider its proposals. If the proposals are necessary then █ asks the Council to provide alternative parking for parents.</p>	<p>The restrictions were developed following parking surveys that showed that cars were parking adjacent to and in the accesses on the eastern side of Larges Lane thus restricting access to residents' properties.</p> <p>It is estimated that the parking restrictions will result in the removal of 5 parking spaces from this section of Larges Lane and 2 spaces from the northern section adjacent to Barrett Court. Whilst it is accepted that available parking for parents is limited, parking in the areas being restricted does severely restrict access and egress into the adjoining properties.</p> <p>Due to budgetary pressures the Council does not have the resources to provide off street parking provision in this area at this time. Furthermore initial observation do not show sufficient council owned land in vicinity.</p>	<p>Proceed as advertised.</p>
<p>██████████ (via email)</p>	<p>██████████ objects to the introduction of double yellow lines.</p> <p>██████████ states that in parking in this area for 6 years █ has never witnessed any incidents and parents always park considerately.</p> <p>██████████ states that the restrictions will still allow cars to park on the opposite side of the road which would create a chicane.</p> <p>Finally █ states that by introducing the 10.00am – 11.00am restriction will encourage more people to park in Larges Lane which will allow shoppers to park for free.</p>	<p>The restrictions were developed following parking surveys that showed that cars were parking adjacent to and in the accesses on the eastern side of Larges Lane thus restricting access to residents' properties, no cars were observed parking on the western side.</p> <p>The current signs are incorrect and do not correspond with the restrictions contained within the current Boroughwide On-Street TRO. The correct restriction should be Mon – Sat 10.00am – 11.00am. This restriction deters all day commuter parking. The previous 9.30am – 14.30pm restriction was introduced on a temporary basis whilst redevelopment work was being undertaken on the Bracknell and Wokingham College building. Arrangements have been made for the correct signs to be erected.</p>	<p>Proceed as advertised.</p>

Local Member Comments on Consultation responses:

Cllr Ms K Miller – No further comment received following original support for the scheme.

Cllr R Angell - No further comment received following original support for the scheme

Cllr Mrs D Hamilton – No further comment received following original support for the scheme.

Cllr M Skinner – No further comment received following original support for the scheme.